



Serum S100 Protein Is a Reliable Predictor of Brain Injury After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: A Cohort Study

Martin Kleissner^{1,2*}, Marek Sramko^{1,3}, Jan Kohoutek¹, Josef Kautzner^{1,4} and Jiri Kettner^{1,2}

¹ Department of Cardiology, Institute for Clinical and Experimental Medicine (IKEM), Prague, Czechia, ² Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czechia, ³ First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czechia, ⁴ Palacky University Medical School, Olomouc, Czechia

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Dragos Cretoiu,
Carol Davila University of Medicine
and Pharmacy, Romania

Reviewed by:

Xiaoxiang Yan,
Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China
Elisa Cairão,
Universidade da Beira
Interior, Portugal

*Correspondence:

Martin Kleissner
klem@ikem.cz

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
General Cardiovascular Medicine,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

Received: 01 November 2020

Accepted: 15 January 2021

Published: 09 February 2021

Citation:

Kleissner M, Sramko M, Kohoutek J,
Kautzner J and Kettner J (2021)
Serum S100 Protein Is a Reliable
Predictor of Brain Injury After
Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: A
Cohort Study.
Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 8:624825.
doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.624825

Purpose: To evaluate serum S100 protein at hospital admission and after 48 h in early neuroprognostication of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA).

Methods: The study included 48 consecutive patients after OHCA, who survived for at least 72 h after the event. The patients were divided based on their best cerebral performance category (CPC) achieved over a 30 day follow-up period: favorable neurological outcome (CPC 1–2) vs. unfavorable neurological outcome (CPC 3–4). Predictors of an unfavorable neurological outcome were identified by multivariable regression analysis. Analysis of the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) was used to determine the cut-off value for S100, having a 0% false-positive prediction rate.

Results: Of the 48 patients, 30 (63%) had a favorable and 18 (38%) had an unfavorable neurological outcome. Eleven patients (23%) died over the 30 day follow-up. Increased S100 levels at 48 h after OHCA, but not the baseline S100 levels, were independently associated with unfavorable neurological outcome, with an area under the ROC curve of 0.85 (confidence interval 0.74–0.96). A 48 h S100 value ≥ 0.37 $\mu\text{g/L}$ had a specificity of 100% and sensitivity of 39% in predicting an unfavorable 30 day neurological outcome.

Conclusion: This study showed that S100 values assessed 48 h after an OHCA could independently predict an unfavorable neurological outcome at 30 days.

Keywords: brain injury, cardiac arrest, hypothermia, neuroprognostication, prehospital resuscitation, acute cardiac care

INTRODUCTION

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is defined as the cessation of cardiac mechanical activity as confirmed by the absence of signs of circulation occurring outside the hospital (1). Coronary artery disease with initial ventricular fibrillation and subsequent degeneration into asystole is a leading cause of non-traumatic OHCA (2, 3). OHCA remains a major health problem, as it frequently results in patient death or severe neurological disability, even with early resuscitation efforts and high-quality intensive care (4–7). Prolonged care for unconscious patients with severe brain injury and

little hope of a meaningful life is costly and burdensome both for relatives and medical staff. Early discontinuation of intensive treatment should, therefore, be considered in selected cases with a grave neurological prognosis. However, an early determination of the patient's prognosis is difficult and often biased by unavoidable patient sedation (8).

To improve early predictions of neurological outcomes after an OHCA, studies have used various serum biomarkers of neuronal injury (9). Advantages of these biomarkers over other prognostic modalities and clinical examination include quantitative results and independence from the effects of sedatives. Their disadvantage is the lack of a consistent threshold for identifying patients destined to a poor outcome with a high degree of certainty (10). Astroglial S100 calcium-binding protein has been shown to correlate with the extent of nerve tissue damage and neurological outcomes (10). The prognostic value of S100 has been investigated in several studies; however, most of them suffered from significant limitations: (1) they included mixed populations of OHCA and in-hospital cardiac arrest patients (11–16); (2) targeted temperature management (TTM), which is the currently recommended therapy with demonstrated neuroprotective effects, was not routinely used (16–26); (3) they included all-cause mortality as a part of a combined clinical endpoint (12, 26, 27), or the causes of death were not analyzed (13–15, 21–25, 28–30), which imposed a significant bias since S100 levels reflect brain injury/death but not death from other causes.

To avoid the above-mentioned limitations, we conducted this prospective study to verify the validity of baseline and 48 h serum

S100 levels as a predictor of the best neurological outcome during the 30 day period after a non-traumatic OHCA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Population

This was a prospective, single-center study conducted in a high-volume cardiology intensive care unit (CICU) of a tertiary center. The analyzed sample included 48 of 50 consecutive patients after an OHCA who underwent TTM at our CICU and survived at least 72 h after admission (Figure 1). The study was approved by the institution's ethics committee (approval #G-18-62); patient informed consent was waived since blood samples were obtained during clinically indicated routine blood collections. Clinical data were recorded prospectively using a predefined structured form. The choice of the recorded variables followed recommendations for uniform reporting of OHCA (i.e., the Utstein Style) (31).

In our region, all ambulance crews arriving at the scene were staffed by a physician. After recovery of spontaneous circulation, the ambulance physician consulted, by phone, with the emergency physician on duty at our CICU. Patients with a suspected cardiac cause, or in cases where the cause of the OHCA was not immediately evident, were transported to our CICU with the prospect of coronary angiography and other specific cardiological exams, whereas patients with an evident non-cardiac cause were usually referred to general intensive care units at other institutions (32).

According to our protocol, TTM was initiated in all patients immediately after admission. Cooling was achieved using




TABLE 1 | Clinical characteristics of the study population overall and relative to neurological outcomes.

	All patients <i>n</i> = 48	Best CPC within 30 days		Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
		CPC 3–4 <i>n</i> = 18	CPC 1–2 <i>n</i> = 30	Odds ratio (95% CI)*	<i>p</i> -Value	Odds ratio (95% CI)*	<i>p</i> -Value
Clinical characteristics							
Age (years)	61 ± 12	64 ± 11	59 ± 13	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.22		
Male	40 (83)	17 (94)	23 (77)	5.2 (0.6–46)	0.23		
Comorbidities							
Diabetes mellitus	7 (15)	2 (11)	5 (17)	0.6 (0.1–3.6)	0.70		
Arterial hypertension	31 (65)	13 (72)	18 (60)	1.7 (0.5–6.1)	0.39		
Smoking	22 (46)	7 (39)	15 (50)	0.6 (0.2–2.1)	0.45		
Dyslipidemia	16 (33)	7 (39)	9 (30)	1.5 (0.4–5.1)	0.53		
Coronary artery disease	12 (25)	6 (33)	6 (20)	2.0 (0.5–7.5)	0.30		
Biomarkers							
Lactate at baseline (mmol/L)	3.2 [2.6–5.7]	4.3 [2.8–6.6]	2.9 [2.2–5.8]	1.2 (1.0–1.5)	0.11		
Hs troponin T at baseline (ng/L)	126 [49–260]	157 [44–352]	123 [49–226]	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	0.38		
S100 at baseline (μg/L)	2.0 [1.0–3.8]	2.6 [1.0–4.2]	1.9 [1.0–3.3]	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.28		
S100 48 h (μg/L)	0.1 [0.1–0.3]	0.3 [0.1–1.0]	0.1 [0.1–0.1]	2.7 (1.5–6.2)	<0.0001	1.6 (1.1–4.0)	<0.01
S100 48 h ≥ 0.37 μg/L	7 (15)	7 (39)	0 (0)	40 (2.1–754)	<0.001		
S100 decrease (μg/L)	1.9 [0.8–3.5]	2.1 [0.5–3.7]	1.9 [1.0–3.2]	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	0.94		
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	36 ± 13	41 ± 13	33 ± 11	1.1 (1.0–1.1)	0.02	1.1 (1.0–1.2)	0.07
Resuscitation-related characteristics							
Witnessed OHCA	43 (90)	18 (100)	25 (83)	8.0 (0.4–153)	0.14		
Basic life support performed	40 (83)	15 (83)	25 (83)	1.0 (0.2–4.8)	1.00		
Basic life support time (min)	9 [7–11]	10 [5–12]	9 [8–10]	1.0 (0.8–1.3)	0.51		
VT/VF upon first contact	38 (79)	10 (56)	28 (93)	0.1 (0.0–0.5)	<0.01	0.1 (0.0–1.1)	0.06
Advanced life support time (min)	17 [10–21]	17 [15–24]	17 [8–22]	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.38		
Time from OHCA to ROSC (min)	22 [17–30]	24 [20–32]	21 [15–30]	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.22		
Normal pupillary reflex at baseline	45 (94)	17 (94)	28 (93)	1.2 (0.1–14)	1.00		
Electrocardiography							
ST-segment elevation or LBBB	24 (50)	10 (56)	14 (47)	1.4 (0.4–4.6)	0.55		
ST-segment depression	18 (38)	10 (56)	8 (27)	3.4 (1.0–12)	0.05	3.8 (0.6–29)	0.15
Right bundle branch block	6 (13)	4 (22)	2 (7)	4.0 (0.7–25)	0.18		
No ischemic ECG changes	9 (19)	3 (17)	6 (20)	0.8 (0.2–3.7)	1.00		
Temperature management							
Body temperature at baseline (°C)	35.7 ± 0.8	35.5 ± 0.8	35.8 ± 0.7	0.7 (0.3–1.5)	0.34		
Body temperature during TTM (°C)	34.4 ± 0.6	34.4 ± 0.6	34.4 ± 0.6	1.0 (0.4–3.0)	0.95		
Time from OHCA to target range (min)	99 [68–172]	96 [74–158]	114 [51–199]	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	0.88		
Time from ROSC to target range (min)	73 [40–154]	60 [39–120]	88 [45–167]	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	0.30		
Time within the temperature range (h)	22 [15–26]	25 [17–27]	22 [14–25]	1.1 (1.0–1.2)	0.13		
Cardiology intensive care unit care							
Revascularization immediate (≤2 h)	18 (38)	8 (44)	10 (33)	1.6 (0.5–5.3)	0.44		
Coronary angiography not performed	6 (13)	4 (22)	2 (7)	4.0 (0.7–25)	0.18		
Need for vasopressors	25 (52)	13 (72)	12 (40)	3.9 (1.1–14)	0.03	3.2 (0.4–26)	0.25
Length of stay (days)	6 [4–8]	5 [3–6]	7 [5–9]	0.8 (0.6–1.0)	0.13		
Final diagnosis							
Myocardial infarction	32 (68)	11 (65)	21 (70)	0.8 (0.2–2.8)	0.71		
Cardiomyopathy	4 (8)	0 (0)	4 (13)	0.2 (0.0–3.1)	0.28		
Primary arrhythmogenic cause	2 (4)	0 (0)	2 (7)	0.3 (0.0–6.8)	0.52		
Extra-cardiac	5 (10)	4 (22)	1 (3)	8.3 (0.8–81)	0.06	0.7 (0.0–19)	0.80

The values are count (%), median [Q1–Q3], or mean ± standard deviation. Factors associated with neurological outcomes at a significance level of $p < 0.1$ in the univariate analysis were also tested in the multivariate analysis. *Odds ratios and confidence intervals were calculated for unfavorable neurological outcomes. For continuous variables, unit odds ratios for 1.0 increments with corresponding confidence intervals are given. However, for S100, unit odds ratios and confidence intervals were calculated for 0.1 increments. CI, confidence interval; CPC, cerebral performance category; ECG, electrocardiogram; hs, high sensitivity; LBBB, left bundle branch block; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; TTM, targeted temperature management; VT/VF, ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation.

a hypothermia water blanket (PlastiPad, Blanketrol system, Cincinnati Sub-Zero, USA), ice packs, and cold infusions. Body temperature was measured using a thermistor-tipped urinary catheter; the target was set for between 32 and 36°C for the first 12–24 h (33–35). Patients with evident or probable acute coronary syndrome then underwent immediate coronary angiography (<2 h after admission), which was available 24/7. In the remaining patients, coronary angiography was performed after completion of TTM and after verification of a favorable neurological status. Patients received standard cardiology and intensive care management according to the guidelines (10).

Protein S100 Analysis

Serum for the determination of S100 levels (S100 A1B and S100 BB) was obtained immediately after hospital admission (baseline) and again at 48 h. The samples were stored at –70°C until batch analysis, which was done using a commercially available electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (Elecsys S100®, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The detection range of the test was 0.005–39 µg/L with an inter- and intra-assay coefficient of variation of 5.6 and 2.3%. The laboratory staff performing the biomarker analysis was blinded to the clinical results.

Outcome

The primary study endpoint was the best achieved cerebral performance category (CPC) between hospitalization day 3 and day 30. CPC 1 (good cerebral performance) and CPC 2 (moderate cerebral disability) were regarded as favorable neurological outcomes, while CPC 3 (severe cerebral disability) and CPC 4 (coma or vegetative state) were considered as unfavorable neurological outcomes. In patients who died, the exact cause of death was determined (Figure 1); only brain death being CPC 5 (36). Clinical follow-up CPC data after discharge from the index hospitalization were obtained by outpatient visits (n = 24), by phone calls with the patient, the patient’s relative, or a general

practitioner (n = 18), or from discharge letters from other hospitals (n = 3). End-of-life decisions were not made at our institution; patients with persistent severe neurological deficits were transferred to chronic care units.




FIGURE 3 | ROC curve for S100 as a predictor for neurological outcome. Best (numerically lowest) CPC score between day 3 and day 30 was determined and dichotomized into CPC 1–2 vs. 3–4. Consequently, the ROC curve of S100 at baseline and at 48 h for the dichotomized neurological outcome was plotted and corresponding area under the curve (AUC) with 95% confidence interval (in parentheses) was calculated. CPC, cerebral performance category; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.




FIGURE 2 | Comparison of the S100 levels between a favorable and an unfavorable neurological outcome. Best (numerically lowest) CPC score between day 3 and day 30 was determined and dichotomized into CPC 1–2 vs. 3–4. Box plots for S100 at baseline, 48 h, and the difference between these time points are shown on a logarithmic scale. Crosses (x) indicate the mean value. CPC, cerebral performance category.

Statistical Methods

Pre-study sample size calculations were performed using the OpenEpi online calculator (37) and were based on day 2 serum levels of S100 published by Prohl et al. (11). A sample size of 50 subjects was estimated to achieve a power of 90% for a two-sided test at a level of $\alpha = 0.05$.

Comparisons between patients, relative to best achieved favorable (CPC 1–2) vs. unfavorable neurological (CPC 3–4) outcomes were performed using the Student's *t*-test, Wilcoxon/Kruskal-Wallis test, Chi-Square test, or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Odds ratios and confidence intervals (CI) were computed, and the Haldane-Anscombe correction was used in the case of a zero cell in the contingency tables. Factors associated with dichotomized neurological outcomes were identified using univariate, and subsequently, multivariate logistic regression analysis, which included statistically relevant ($p < 0.1$) univariate predictors. The predictive value of S100 was determined using the receiver operating characteristic. The 95% binomial CIs for false-positive rates were defined using the Blyth-Still-Casella method. The analyses were performed using JMP 10 statistical software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, USA, RRID:SCR_014242) and R version 4.0.0 (www.r-project.org, Vienna, Austria, RRID:SCR_001905). A $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

RESULTS

Of the 48 patients, a total of 30 (63%) had a favorable neurological outcome (CPC 1–2), while 18 (38%) had an unfavorable neurological outcome (CPC 3–4) 30 days after the OHCA. Eleven patients (23%) died, of those, eight died from irreversible brain damage. A comparison of patient clinical characteristics, based on the best achieved 30 day neurological outcome, is shown in **Table 1**.

In the univariate analysis, an unfavorable neurological outcome was associated with higher 48 h S100 levels, higher initial left ventricular ejection fraction, absence of a shockable rhythm at first medical contact, the presence of ST-segment depressions on the first electrocardiogram, and the need for

vasopressors during the 24 h following the OHCA. On the other hand, there was no significant association between neurological outcomes and baseline S100 levels or between the absolute decrease in S100 between baseline and 48 h (**Figure 2**). Furthermore, there was no relationship between neurological outcomes and the time delay from OHCA to the start of TTM or to the achievement of the target temperature.

In the multivariate analysis, increased S100 levels at 48 h were the only independent predictors of an unfavorable neurological outcome up to 30 days. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve for S100 levels at 48 h, used for prediction of neurological outcomes, was 0.85 (CI 0.74–0.96) (**Figure 3**). At 48 h, S100 levels above the cut-off value of $\geq 0.37 \mu\text{g/L}$ had 100% specificity and 39% sensitivity for predicting an unfavorable 30 day neurological outcome (**Table 2**), while a cut-off value of 0.12 $\mu\text{g/L}$, determined as the Youden index, provided an unacceptably high false-positive rate for unfavorable outcomes (17%).

Table 3 provides univariate analysis of clinical characteristics relative to 48 h S100 cut-off value of 0.37 $\mu\text{g/L}$. Current tobacco smoking was found to be the only significant predictor of lower S100 levels. Importantly, the S100 values were influenced by neither the resuscitation-related characteristics nor the cardiac function.

DISCUSSION

In a homogeneous population of OHCA victims undergoing TTM, this study found a significant association between 30 day neurological outcomes and S100 levels measured 48 h after the OHCA. However, the baseline values of S100 had no relationship to outcome. In fact, the 48 h S100 was the only independent predictor of neurological outcome. Using a cut-off value of $\geq 0.37 \mu\text{g/L}$, S100 could predict an unfavorable outcome in 39% of patients with a 100% specificity. These findings suggest that the biomarker could be helpful for neurological prognostication, especially in patients who are still unconscious 48 h after their OHCA.

Dealing with comatose patients after resuscitation from an OHCA is notoriously difficult. Importantly, it is essential to minimize the risk of a falsely pessimistic prediction. When predicting poor outcomes, the false positive rate should be zero. Advantages of biomarkers over other prognostic modalities include quantitative results and likely independence from the effects of sedatives (10). In the central nervous system, the S100 family of proteins is present in glial and Schwann cells, where they stimulate cellular processes such as proliferation, differentiation, and regulation of intracellular Ca^{2+} homeostasis (38, 39). Extra-cerebrally, S100 is found in muscle, chondrocytes (40), and adipocytes (41). The latter sources may be confounding in OHCA patients who received chest compressions since they can lead to high baseline S100 levels. This increase was independent of the neurological outcomes in our patients.

The association between 48 h S100 serum levels and neurological outcomes in our cohort corresponds to previous observational trials, in which S100 measured at various time points after an OHCA were predictive of the neurological

TABLE 2 | Cut-off values of 48 h serum S100 levels for unfavorable neurological outcome.

Cut-off ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	FPR % [95% CI]	Specificity % [95% CI]	Sensitivity % [95% CI]
≥ 0.12	17 [6–34]*	83 [66–94]	72 [47–89]
≥ 0.21	13 [4–30]	87 [70–96]	61 [37–82]
≥ 0.22	10 [2–26]	90 [74–98]	61 [37–82]
≥ 0.27	7 [1–21]	93 [79–99]	56 [33–77]
≥ 0.36	3 [0–17]	97 [83–100]	39 [18–63]
≥ 0.37	0 [0–11]	100 [89–100]	39 [18–63]

Best (numerically lowest) CPC score between day 3 and day 30 was determined and dichotomized into CPC 1–2 vs. 3–4. Consequently, the discrimination ability of S100 at 48 h for CPC 3–4 was analyzed. *Youden index. CI, confidence interval; FPR, false positive rate.

TABLE 3 | Serum S100 cut-off value of 0.37 µg/L at 48 h relative to clinical characteristics of the study population.

	S100 level		Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)*	p-Value
	≥0.37 µg/L n = 7	<0.37 µg/L n = 41		
Clinical characteristics				
Age (years)	65 ± 14	61 ± 12	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.41
Male	6 (86)	34 (83)	1.2 (0.1–12)	0.85
Comorbidities				
Diabetes mellitus	0 (0)	7 (17)	0.3 (0.0–6.0)	0.57
Arterial hypertension	6 (86)	25 (61)	3.8 (0.4–35)	0.21
Smoking	0 (0)	22 (54)	0.1 (0.0–1.1)	0.01
Dyslipidemia	3 (43)	13 (32)	1.6 (0.3–8.3)	0.67
Coronary artery disease	2 (29)	10 (24)	1.2 (0.2–7.4)	1.00
Biomarkers				
Lactate at baseline (mmol/L)	4.4 [2.8–15]	3.0 [2.5–5.6]	1.2 (1.0–1.6)	0.13
Hs troponin T at baseline (ng/L)	107 [78–332]	128 [49–244]	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	0.53
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	41 ± 14	35 ± 12	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.23
Resuscitation-related characteristics				
Witnessed OHCA	7 (100)	36 (88)	2.3 (0.1–45)	1.00
Basic life support performed	6 (86)	34 (83)	1.2 (0.1–12)	1.00
Basic life support time (min)	12 [8–13]	9 [6–10]	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	0.14
VT/VF upon first contact	4 (57)	34 (83)	0.3 (0.0–1.5)	0.15
Advanced life support time (min)	15 [15–45]	18 [10–21]	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.52
Time from OHCA to ROSC (min)	27 [22–54]	21 [16–30]	1.1 (1.0–1.2)	0.09
Normal pupillary reflex at baseline	6 (86)	39 (95)	0.3 (0.0–3.9)	0.38
Electrocardiography				
ST-segment elevation or LBBB	4 (57)	20 (49)	1.4 (0.3–7.1)	1.00
ST-segment depression	4 (57)	14 (34)	2.6 (0.5–13)	0.40
Right bundle branch block	2 (29)	4 (10)	3.7 (0.5–26)	0.21
No ischemic ECG changes	1 (14)	8 (20)	0.7 (0.1–6.5)	1.00
Temperature management				
Body temperature at baseline (°C)	35.4 ± 1.0	35.7 ± 0.7	0.5 (0.1–1.7)	0.33
Body temperature during TTM (°C)	34.3 ± 0.7	34.4 ± 0.6	0.7 (0.2–2.8)	0.58
Time from OHCA to target range (min)	97 [71–151]	101 [65–177]	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	0.98
Time from ROSC to target range (min)	53 [40–104]	75 [42–160]	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	0.55
Time within the temperature range (h)	20 [14–26]	22 [15–26]	1.0 (0.9–1.2)	0.92
Cardiology intensive care unit care				
Revascularization immediate (≤2 h)	1 (14)	17 (41)	0.2 (0.0–2.1)	0.23
Coronary angiography not performed	3 (43)	3 (7)	9.5 (1.4–64)	0.03
Need for vasopressors	6 (86)	19 (46)	6.9 (0.8–63)	0.10
Length of stay (days)	5 [3–6]	6 [5–8]	0.8 (0.5–1.1)	0.23
Final diagnosis				
Myocardial infarction	3 (50)	29 (71)	0.4 (0.1–2.3)	0.37
Cardiomyopathy	0 (0)	4 (10)	0.6 (0.0–11)	1.00
Primary arrhythmogenic cause	0 (0)	2 (5)	1.1 (0.0–24)	1.00
Extra-cardiac	1 (14)	4 (10)	1.5 (0.1–16)	0.56

The values are count (%), median [Q1–Q3], or mean ± standard deviation. *Odds ratios and confidence intervals were calculated for S100 levels ≥0.37 µg/L. For continuous variables, unit odds ratios for 1.0 increments with corresponding confidence intervals are given. Haldane-Anscombe correction was used in the case of a zero cell in the contingency tables, therefore confidence interval can contain 1.0 despite $p < 0.05$. ECG, electrocardiogram; hs, high sensitivity; LBBB, left bundle branch block; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; TTM, targeted temperature management; VT/VF, ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation.

outcome (11–17, 19, 20, 24, 25, 27–30); nonetheless, only a few studies showed a significant association when the multivariate analysis was applied (23, 24, 26, 30). All the published evidence, to date, has significant shortcomings, including heterogeneous populations (11–16), or lack of routine TTM after OHCA, which is the current standard of care (16–26).

Previously, a biobank sub-study of the “TTM trial” analyzed serum S100 values of 687 patients after an OHCA (27, 35). After adjusting for relevant clinical factors, the authors found no independent predictive value of S100 measured at 24, 48, or 72 h. The sub-study compared S100 levels with a definitive 6 month CPC score, including all-cause mortality. However, this is a weak point of the observation, since by default, S100 levels cannot be used to predict all-cause mortality. To overcome this bias in analysis, our study considered the best CPC score, both during hospitalization and post-hospitalization, starting on day 3 and continuing through day 30. For instance, two of our patients, who had neurologically recovered during the first days (both were CPC 1), subsequently died from cardiac-related death; however, both were treated in the analysis as being CPC 1 (Figure 1). On the other hand, none of our patients who achieved a CPC score of 1 or 2 died during the 30 day study due to brain injury. We believe that our clinically more relevant and straightforward definition of the *neurological endpoint* was the main reason why our results differ from the “TTM trial” sub-study.

Our study has limitations. The required study population size was calculated based on previously published data. Although the study showed a significant association between high S100 levels and unfavorable neurological outcomes, the relatively modest sample size translates into wider CIs in the statistical analyses. The patient population was biased toward cardiac causes of OHCA since patients with obvious extra-cardiac causes of OHCA were predominantly transported to other intensive care units. On the other hand, this referral bias could be considered as an advantage since our study population was very homogeneous. Therefore, the study results are better applicable to CICU clinical practice. Finally, our results may be influenced by the automated method of electrochemiluminescence immunoassay using Elecsys S100[®] kits, which is more sensitive and has a faster turnaround time compared to kits of some other manufacturers (42).

This study showed that S100 values examined 48 h after OHCA can independently estimate 30 day neurological outcomes in a population of patients treated with TTM. Most importantly, this predictive value can be achieved without interference with TTM-associated sedation. Thus, the biomarker S100 may be

particularly helpful in patients who remain comatose after completion of TTM. However, before making any critical clinical decisions, a neurological prognosis in these patients should be assessed by a multimodal approach, which should be based on repeated neurological assessments, and may include imaging, somatosensory evoked potentials, electroencephalography, and quantitative pupillometry (10, 43). In this regard, evaluation of S100 levels at 48 h would be a useful tool, though it is only one piece in the clinical mosaic.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of the Institute for Clinical and Experimental Medicine and Thomayer Hospital, Prague. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MK: formal analysis, data curation, writing—original draft, and visualization. MS: data curation, writing—review and editing, and visualization. JKo: investigation. JKa: writing—review and editing. JKe: conceptualization, methodology, resources, supervision, and project administration. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the Research Grant of the Ministry of Health, Czech Republic—Conceptual development of research organization (Institute for Clinical and Experimental Medicine—IKEM, IN 00023001). Data analysis and publication were funded by the endowment fund Heart.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Thomas Secest for his excellent and quick proofreading services.

REFERENCES

- Jacobs I, Nadkarni V, Bahr J, Berg RA, Billi JE, Bossaert L, et al. Cardiac arrest and cardiopulmonary resuscitation outcome reports: update and simplification of the Utstein templates for resuscitation registries: a statement for healthcare professionals from a Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (American Heart Association, European Resuscitation Council, Australian Resuscitation Council, New Zealand Resuscitation Council, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, InterAmerican Heart Foundation, Resuscitation Councils of Southern Africa). *Circulation*. (2004) 110:3385–97. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000147236.85306.15
- Perkins GD, Travers AH, Berg RA, Castren M, Considine J, Escalante R, et al. Part 3: adult basic life support and automated external defibrillation: 2015 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation*. (2015) 95:e43–69. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.07.041
- Soar J, Callaway CW, Aibiki M, Bottiger BW, Brooks SC, Deakin CD, et al. Part 4: advanced life support: 2015 International Consensus

- on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation*. (2015) 95:e71–120. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.07.042
4. Berdowski J, Berg RA, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Global incidences of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and survival rates: systematic review of 67 prospective studies. *Resuscitation*. (2010) 81:1479–87. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.08.006
 5. Grasner JT, Herlitz J, Koster RW, Rosell-Ortiz F, Stamatakis L, Bossaert L. Quality management in resuscitation—towards a European cardiac arrest registry (EuReCa). *Resuscitation*. (2011) 82:989–94. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.02.047
 6. Grasner JT, Bossaert L. Epidemiology and management of cardiac arrest: what registries are revealing. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol*. (2013) 27:293–306. doi: 10.1016/j.bpa.2013.07.008
 7. Hawkes C, Booth S, Ji C, Brace-McDonnell SJ, Whittington A, Mapstone J, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes from out-of-hospital cardiac arrests in England. *Resuscitation*. (2017) 110:133–40. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.10.030
 8. Samaniego EA, Mlynash M, Caulfield AF, Eynogorn I, Wijman CA. Sedation confounds outcome prediction in cardiac arrest survivors treated with hypothermia. *Neurocrit Care*. (2011) 15:113–9. doi: 10.1007/s12028-010-9412-8
 9. Gul SS, Huesgen KW, Wang KK, Mark K, Tyndall JA. Prognostic utility of neuroinjury biomarkers in post out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) patient management. *Med Hypotheses*. (2017) 105:34–47. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2017.06.016
 10. Nolan JP, Soar J, Cariou A, Cronberg T, Moulart VR, Deakin CD, et al. European Resuscitation Council and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Guidelines for post-resuscitation care 2015: section 5 of the European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for resuscitation 2015. *Resuscitation*. (2015) 95:202–22. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.07.018
 11. Prohl J, Rother J, Kluge S, de Heer G, Liepert J, Bodenbun S, et al. Prediction of short-term and long-term outcomes after cardiac arrest: a prospective multivariate approach combining biochemical, clinical, electrophysiological, and neuropsychological investigations. *Crit Care Med*. (2007) 35:1230–7. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000261892.10559.85
 12. Rundgren M, Karlsson T, Nielsen N, Cronberg T, Johnsson P, Friberg H. Neuron specific enolase and S-100B as predictors of outcome after cardiac arrest and induced hypothermia. *Resuscitation*. (2009) 80:784–9. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2009.03.025
 13. Zellner T, Gartner R, Schopohl J, Angstwurm M. NSE and S-100B are not sufficiently predictive of neurologic outcome after therapeutic hypothermia for cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. (2013) 84:1382–6. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2013.03.021
 14. Pfeifer R, Franz M, Figulla HR. Hypothermia after cardiac arrest does not affect serum levels of neuron-specific enolase and protein S-100b. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. (2014) 58:1093–100. doi: 10.1111/aas.12386
 15. Mortberg E, Zetterberg H, Nordmark J, Blennow K, Rosengren L, Rubertsson S. S-100B is superior to NSE, BDNF and GFAP in predicting outcome of resuscitation from cardiac arrest with hypothermia treatment. *Resuscitation*. (2011) 82:26–31. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.10.011
 16. Shinozaki K, Oda S, Sadahiro T, Nakamura M, Abe R, Nakada TA, et al. Serum S-100B is superior to neuron-specific enolase as an early prognostic biomarker for neurological outcome following cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. (2009) 80:870–5. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2009.05.005
 17. Bottiger BW, Mobes S, Glatzer R, Bauer H, Gries A, Bartsch P, et al. Astroglial protein S-100 is an early and sensitive marker of hypoxic brain damage and outcome after cardiac arrest in humans. *Circulation*. (2001) 103:2694–8. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.103.22.2694
 18. Grubb NR, Simpson C, Sherwood RA, Abraha HD, Cobbe SM, O'Carroll RE, et al. Prediction of cognitive dysfunction after resuscitation from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest using serum neuron-specific enolase and protein S-100. *Heart*. (2007) 93:1268–73. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2006.091314
 19. Oda Y, Tsuruta R, Fujita M, Kaneda K, Kawamura Y, Izumi T, et al. Prediction of the neurological outcome with intrathecal high mobility group box 1 and S100B in cardiac arrest victims: a pilot study. *Resuscitation*. (2012) 83:1006–12. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2012.01.030
 20. Rosen H, Sunnerhagen KS, Herlitz J, Blomstrand C, Rosengren L. Serum levels of the brain-derived proteins S-100 and NSE predict long-term outcome after cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. (2001) 49:183–91. doi: 10.1016/S0300-9572(00)00348-8
 21. Song KJ, Shin SD, Ong ME, Jeong JS. Can early serum levels of S100B protein predict the prognosis of patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest? *Resuscitation*. (2010) 81:337–42. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2009.10.012
 22. Tiainen M, Roine RO, Pettila V, Takkunen O. Serum neuron-specific enolase and S-100B protein in cardiac arrest patients treated with hypothermia. *Stroke*. (2003) 34:2881–6. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000103320.90706.35
 23. Huang CH, Tsai MS, Chien KL, Chang WT, Wang TD, Chen SC, et al. Predicting the outcomes for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients using multiple biomarkers and suspension microarray assays. *Sci Rep*. (2016) 6:27187. doi: 10.1038/srep27187
 24. Einav S, Kaufman N, Algur N, Kark JD. Modeling serum biomarkers S100 beta and neuron-specific enolase as predictors of outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: an aid to clinical decision making. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. (2012) 60:304–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.04.020
 25. Derwall M, Stoppe C, Brucken D, Rossaint R, Fries M. Changes in S-100 protein serum levels in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest treated with mild therapeutic hypothermia: a prospective, observational study. *Crit Care*. (2009) 13:R58. doi: 10.1186/cc7785
 26. Mussack T, Biberthaler P, Kanz KG, Wiedemann E, Gippner-Steppert C, Mutschler W, et al. Serum S-100B and interleukin-8 as predictive markers for comparative neurologic outcome analysis of patients after cardiac arrest and severe traumatic brain injury. *Crit Care Med*. (2002) 30:2669–74. doi: 10.1097/00003246-200212000-00010
 27. Stammet P, Dankiewicz J, Nielsen N, Fays F, Collignon O, Hassager C, et al. Protein S100 as outcome predictor after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and targeted temperature management at 33°C and 36°C. *Crit Care*. (2017) 21:153. doi: 10.1186/s13054-017-1729-7
 28. Duetz CHV, Grejs AM, Jeppesen AN, Schroder AD, Soreide E, Nielsen JE, et al. Neuron-specific enolase and S-100b in prolonged targeted temperature management after cardiac arrest: a randomised study. *Resuscitation*. (2018) 122:79–86. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2017.11.052
 29. Choi S, Park K, Ryu S, Kang T, Kim H, Cho S, et al. Use of S-100B, NSE, CRP, and ESR to predict neurological outcomes in patients with return of spontaneous circulation and treated with hypothermia. *Emerg Med J*. (2016) 33:690–5. doi: 10.1136/emermed-2015-205423
 30. Stammet P, Wagner DR, Gilson G, Devaux Y. Modeling serum level of s100beta and bispectral index to predict outcome after cardiac arrest. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. (2013) 62:851–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.04.039
 31. Cummins RO, Chamberlain DA, Abramson NS, Allen M, Baskett PJ, Becker L, et al. Recommended guidelines for uniform reporting of data from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: the Utstein Style. A statement for health professionals from a task force of the American Heart Association, the European Resuscitation Council, the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, and the Australian Resuscitation Council. *Circulation*. (1991) 84:960–75. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.84.2.960
 32. Kleissner M, Sramko M, Kautzner J, Kettner J. Mid-term clinical outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients treated with targeted temperature management at 34–36°C vs. 32–34°C. *Heart Lung*. (2019) 48:273–7. doi: 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2018.11.007
 33. Bernard SA, Gray TW, Buist MD, Jones BM, Silvester W, Gutteridge G, et al. Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. *N Engl J Med*. (2002) 346:557–63. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa003289
 34. Hypothermia after Cardiac Arrest Study G. Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med*. (2002) 346:549–56. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa012689
 35. Nielsen N, Wetterslev J, Cronberg T, Erlinge D, Gasche Y, Hassager C, et al. Targeted temperature management at 33°C vs. 36°C after cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med*. (2013) 369:2197–206. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1310519
 36. Grenvik A, Safar P. *Brain Failure and Resuscitation*. New York, NY: Churchill Livingstone (1981). p. 268.
 37. Dean AG, Sullivan KM, Soe MM. *OpenEpi: Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health, Version 3*. (2013). Available online at: www.OpenEpi.com (accessed June 15, 2013).

38. Martens P, Raabe A, Johnsson P. Serum S-100 and neuron-specific enolase for prediction of regaining consciousness after global cerebral ischemia. *Stroke*. (1998) 29:2363–6. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.29.11.2363
39. Donato R, Cannon BR, Sorci G, Riuzzi F, Hsu K, Weber DJ, et al. Functions of S100 proteins. *Curr Mol Med*. (2013) 13:24–57. doi: 10.2174/156652413804486214
40. Diaz-Romero J, Quintin A, Schoenholzer E, Pauli C, Despont A, Zumstein MA, et al. S100A1 and S100B expression patterns identify differentiation status of human articular chondrocytes. *J Cell Physiol*. (2014) 229:1106–17. doi: 10.1002/jcp.24547
41. Anderson RE, Hansson LO, Nilsson O, Dijlai-Merzoug R, Settergren G. High serum S100B levels for trauma patients without head injuries. *Neurosurgery*. (2001) 48:1255–8; discussion 8–60. doi: 10.1227/00006123-200106000-00012
42. Bloomfield SM, McKinney J, Smith L, Brisman J. Reliability of S100B in predicting severity of central nervous system injury. *Neurocrit Care*. (2007) 6:121–38. doi: 10.1007/s12028-007-0008-x
43. Oddo M, Sandroni C, Citerio G, Miroz JP, Horn J, Rundgren M, et al. Quantitative vs. standard pupillary light reflex for early prognostication in comatose cardiac arrest patients: an international prospective multicenter double-blinded study. *Intensive Care Med*. (2018) 44:2102–11. doi: 10.1007/s00134-018-5448-6

Conflict of Interest: JKa has received speaker's honoraria from Boehringer Ingelheim, Biosense Webster, Biotronik, Daiichi Sankyo, Medtronic, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Pfizer, and Abbott - St. Jude Medical; and has served as a consultant for Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Biosense Webster, Daiichi Sankyo, Medtronic, Merit Medical, and Abbott - St. Jude Medical.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Kleissner, Sramko, Kohoutek, Kautzner and Kettner. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.